2.0 **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

2.1 Changed Project Components

Figure 2.1a illustrates the location and spatial extent of the eight project components assessed in this update; Figures 2.1b through 2.1d show these components at a finer-scale. Six of these components will be included in the scope of work to be undertaken by Project Co, and two will be undertaken by the City of Edmonton as preparatory (early) works (see Figure 2.1a).

2.1.1 North Valley Primary Construction Access - Project Co Component

The 2013 EISA identified the primary north river valley construction access corridor as moving through the east side of LMRP. The identified route involved approaching the park along the edge of Riverdale Neighbourhood, following Cameron Avenue to its intersection with 94 Street and 99 Avenue, then moving west into LMRP using the Trans Canada Trail SUP. The 2013 Project Area included the lands along that SUP. This route was also identified as the required permanent emergency and maintenance access route to the portal and tunnel (Figure 2.1b). The 2013 EISA identified the possible need for a secondary construction access from the west, through LMRP, but a specific location was not discussed, nor was the specific purpose of a secondary access route discussed. Because of this, associated impacts were not described. At that time, it was assumed that any secondary access would be used only for select but unspecified activities and would not require physical modification of park lands. It was agreed that if a need to use lands in the western end of LMRP in this way emerged, the impacts would be assessed in later project planning.

Since that time, additional planning and community group consultation has determined that the designated primary construction access route will be through the west half of LMRP, entering the park from Grierson Hill Road and accessing the valley slope east to the west edge of the Project Area that was described in 2013 (Figure 2.1b). From there access would then continue within the approved 2013 Project Area. The original east park route using Cameron Avenue is now identified as the secondary access route, to be used only at select times during construction on an as-needed basis, when the west, primary access route is unavailable to Project Co. The proposed primary construction in the north valley, a period lasting approximately five years; the east permanent emergency access and maintenance road will remain as described in the 2013 EISA and, as before, both roads will be designed and constructed by Project Co.



Legend Additional Lands

Undertaken by Project Co

Excluded Lands

Areas Removed from Project Area

No Lands Change - Undertaken by Project Co

Road Removal/Landscaping Potential Retaining Wall Anchors (Sub-Surface Work Only)

Lands Involved in Preparatory (Early) Works

Under

Undertaken by City of Edmonton

Lands Previously Assessed in 2013 EISA

 Project Area
 Valley Line LRT Alignment (Reference Design)

Bylaw 7188 Boundary

✤ Indicative Location Only

SA (0 25 50 100 Meters 1:5,500

Figure 2.1a Project Components Assessed in EISA Update City of Edmonton LRT Valley Line - Stage 1 EISA Update





Excluded Lands

Areas Removed from Project Area

No Lands Change - Undertaken by Project Co



Road Removal/Landscaping Potential Retaining Wall Anchors (Sub-Surface Work Only)



- Project Area
- Valley Line LRT Alignment (Reference Design)
- Portal Access Route
- Bylaw 7188 Boundary
- City of Edmonton River Valley Natural Areas (2010)



City of Edmonton LRT Valley Line - Stage 1 EISA Update





Legend Additional Lands

Undertaken by Project Co

Excluded Lands

Areas Removed from Project Area

No Lands Change - Undertaken by Project Co



Road Removal/Landscaping Potential Retaining Wall Anchors (Sub-Surface Work Only)

Lands Involved in Preparatory (Early) Works







Valley Line LRT Alignment (Reference Design)



Bylaw 7188 Boundary

City of Edmonton River Valley Natural Areas (2010)





Figure 2.1c Project Components Assessed in EISA Update

City of Edmonton LRT Valley Line - Stage 1 EISA Update





Legend Additional Lands

Undertaken by Project Co

Excluded Lands

Areas Removed from Project Area

No Lands Change - Undertaken by Project Co



Road Removal/Landscaping Potential Retaining Wall Anchors (Sub-Surface Work Only)

Lands Involved in Preparatory (Early) Works







Valley Line LRT Alignment (Reference Design)



- Bylaw 7188 Boundary
- City of Edmonton River Valley Natural Areas (2010)





Figure 2.1d Project Components Assessed in EISA Update

City of Edmonton LRT Valley Line - Stage 1 EISA Update



The proposed primary north valley construction access route enters LMRP from Grierson Hill Road near the Shaw Conference Centre, at the park main vehicle access point (Plate 2.1). From there, the access road travels southeast along the existing paved maintenance vehicle access, to the Riverfront Plaza, and then ties into an existing paved SUP (Figure 2.1b; Plate 2.2). The entire route follows existing asphalt, of variable width. Project Co will be required to design and construct the access road to the standard needed to carry out the work safely and without adversely affecting slope stability in the park. Based on the anticipated types of required construction equipment and the anticipated volume of traffic, Project Co is expected to upgrade the existing route. At a minimum, this is expected to involve some re-grading along the SUP to create a road base, and some preparatory work to assure a stable base. The road will have to accommodate two-way construction traffic and must fit within the corridor shown on Figure 2.1b. Temporary fencing may be installed to ensure safe separation of the route from public areas. The tight curves and narrow width of the existing access road from Grierson Hill to the Riverfront Plaza may be unable to accommodate large construction equipment. Thus, at this stage the City has not ruled out the need for Project Co to widen that route, which may require some clearing into adjacent natural vegetation.



Plate 2.1. Segment of proposed Louise McKinney Riverfront Park Construction Access Road using existing maintenance road, looking northeast.



Plate 2.2: Trans Canada SUP to be used as Primary construction Access Route in LMRP.

Only activities specific to construction and operation of this temporary access road will be permitted in the Project Area delineated for this component as shown in Figure 2.1b. Lands identified as part of the primary construction access road will not be available for general construction activities (i.e. staging and material storage) and the installation of permanent infrastructure associated with the Valley Line LRT will not be permitted. Once construction activities on the north valley wall and riverbank are completed, the temporary construction access road lands will be returned to the pre-disturbance grades and similar or better condition. All disturbed vegetation will be re-established.

The construction access road will support high volumes of traffic during select construction activities such as tunneling, fill placement, concrete pours, steel installation and bridge demolition and at least some of these activities will consist of numerous heavy loads for periods lasting many days. While in use as the Valley Line construction access, the existing vehicular access road must also remain available to others for servicing of the facilities at the Riverfront Plaza, including the holding tank and future lift station.

<u>Alternatives Considered</u>

When the City determined a need for a primary access route through west LMRP, LRT D and C identified three possible routes, consulted with Community Services and in November 2013 initiated an alternatives analysis exercise, considering in brief: constructability, slope issues, existing park conditions and impacts to park facilities and programming. The outcome of the route analysis was adoption of the route assessed here and shown on Figure 2.1b as the preferred alternative. The memo detailing this analysis is provided in Appendix A.

2.1.2 West Project Boundary Modifications at HMEP - Project Co Component

The City proposes to modify the western Project Area boundary, within HMEP, in two ways (Figure 2.1c) for two very different reasons. The 2013 EISA project description included demolition of a derelict picnic shelter near the west margin of the Project Area and use of those lands for general construction. The picnic area includes a shelter, benches and picnic tables (Plate 2.4). Closer inspection of the aerial photograph base overlain by the Project Area boundary revealed that, as drawn, the boundary cut through the shelter and thus did not allow for its demolition as part of the project. At the same time, LRT D and C continued to examine the impact of the Project on the Crown-owned bed and shore of the abandoned Mill Creek, north of 98 Avenue. It became evident that if the Project Area could be extended west to include the whole of the picnic area and be made available to Project Co for general construction use such as staging, lands encompassing the bed and shore of Mill Creek, and supporting native forest, could be removed from the Project Area, without adversely affecting constructability. Lands to be added to the Project Area, in support of picnic shelter demolition and used for general construction, total approximately 800 m². Lands to be removed from the Project Area include two parcels, approximately 539 m² and 1138 m² in area, totaling approximately 1677 m². Overall, the HMEP west project boundary modification represent a reduction of approximately 877 m^2 in land disturbed by construction activities. In combination, these proposed modifications, one extension and two reductions, were seen as a net gain in environmental protection. Furthermore, the subsequently developed 70% River Valley Landscape Drawings reflect the demolition of the picnic shelter, and show this area as relandscaping of a portion of those lands and native forest restoration (Figure 2.2).

In summary, the proposed west boundary of the Project Area in HMEP involves an expansion in one location and a reduction in two locations. The boundary adjustment not only reduces impact on Mill Creek and the adjacent native balsam poplar forest, some lands currently supporting a hard-surfaced area would be returned to native forest.



Figure 2.2



Plate 2.3. HMEP west project boundary modifications, looking west; picnic shelter and paving stone area (Sept. 2013).



Plate 2.4. Derelict picnic shelter and grounds in HMEP (April 2013).

2.1.3 HMEP Entrance - Project Co Component

The 2013 EISA Project Area deliberately excluded from the Project Area a small parcel of land situated between the 98 Avenue Pedestrian Bridge and 96A Street (Figure 2.1c; Plate 2.5). Subsequent planning has determined that inclusion of this approximate 763 m^2 area of land would create more flexibility for Project Co to provide continuous pedestrian access to 98 Avenue Pedestrian Bridge, as required in the contract. In earlier planning stages it was thought that excluding the lands would assist in assuring continuous access to the bridge, but by adding that small parcel, Project Co would have more flexibility to provide access to and from that bridge terminus, in a manner that best suits sequential construction stages. The contract will still require that pedestrian access to the bridge be maintained at all times. Post-construction, this area would be reclaimed through landscaping.



Plate 2.5. Area of proposed boundary changes at HMEP entrance (98 Ave and 96A St), looking northeast (June 2014).

2.1.4 Retaining Wall Ground Anchors - Project Co Component

The 2013 EISA identified the need for the installation of one or more retaining walls in the vicinity of Muttart Stop and along the permanent portal emergency and maintenance access route. The need for these retaining walls and the possible use of ground anchors as a means of providing wall support was acknowledged in the 2013 EISA. These robust, typically steel anchors are drilled or driven (pounded) at a downward angle into adjacent lands at increasing depth. The length of the anchor is, in part, a function of the height of the wall, and the anchor often extends as far as four times the height of the wall. Thus, at the two identified locations, ground anchors, should Project Co choose to use them, would extend beyond the previously identified Project Area, occupying an area coarsely depicted in Figure 2.1b and 2.1c. The installation of retaining wall anchors does not require surface disturbance. Such anchors are commonly used when new infrastructure is installed in built environments and installation without disturbing adjacent infrastructure is a proven procedure. Final design of the retaining walls and their support methods will be the responsibility of Project Co and, at these locations, ground anchors will be among the available options. The contract will not permit the anchors to extend past the limits of City-owned land and under privately-held lands. Following construction, anchor locations will be documented and registered and thus on record with Alberta First Call. There will be no post-construction restrictions on surface use of lands underlain by anchors.

Following is a technical description of ground anchors, their utility and the benefits of having this method remain available to Project Co. This description was developed for EISA Update purposes by Thurber Engineering. Permanent or temporary excavations in constrained sites are typically supported using non-gravity, cantilever or anchored/braced retaining walls. For both systems, support is provided through the shear and bending stiffness of the vertical wall elements and the passive resistance from the soil below the finished excavation grade. For anchored/braced walls, added support is provided by the lateral resistance of the ground anchors or internal bracing elements. Because of lack of lateral restraint, cantilever walls undergo larger lateral deformations than anchored/braced systems, and their use is often limited to supporting excavations shallower than about 5 m.

For deep excavations in certain design and soil conditions, ground anchors and anchored retaining systems offer some key technical and economic advantages over cantilever or internally braced walls. A summary of these advantages is noted below:

- Anchored walls can resist relatively large horizontal pressures without requiring a significant increase in wall cross section;
- The active forces applied by pre-stressed ground anchors are an effective way of limiting wall deformations, which is particularly important in design situations where strict control of lateral movement of retained ground is required (e.g. excavations of steep or marginally stable slopes, excavations near sensitive structures, etc.);
- The use of ground anchors can reduce the required embedment of vertical wall elements below the excavation grade line;

- The use of ground anchors offers unobstructed workspace inside the excavations.
- Typical industry practice involves verifying the actual performance of ground anchors via full scale field testing during construction.

Construction of a ground anchor involves the insertion of high strength steel element (bar or stand) into a predrilled hole that extends a certain design distance behind the excavation face. The hole is subsequently filled with cement grout (usually under pressure) and the steel member pre-tensioned. The pre-stressing force is transmitted to the retaining structure at the cut face via an anchorage system. Figure 2.3a shows a schematic diagram of the main components of a typical ground anchor. Figure 2.3b illustrates the construction sequence of one type of anchored retaining wall systems, namely, solider piles and lagging.

For ground anchors to be effective, they should be installed into competent soil or bedrock beyond any potential slip surfaces. Ground anchors are commonly installed at angles of 15 to 30 degrees below the horizontal, and can extend generally between 12 and 40 m behind the excavation face. An assessment of the feasibility of ground anchors at a given site should consider underground obstructions/utilities, soil and groundwater conditions, right-of-way and easement limitations and effects on adjacent structures.

In Edmonton, ground anchors have been used successfully on many projects, including the Shaw Conference Center, the south riverbank portal of the existing LRT line, and the widening of Fox Drive and Scona Road. Ground anchors in Edmonton are usually embedded into hard glacial till, dense Saskatchewan sand and gravel, or clay shale/sandstone bedrock.

Figure 2.3a



Figure 1 – Main Components of a ground anchor (FHWA, 1999)

Figure 2.3b





2.1.5 Ski Club Infrastructure Relocation - Project Co Component

The 2013 EISA indicated that up to three Edmonton Ski Club lift towers must be relocated to accommodate a wider transportation corridor and the resulting changed grades north of Connors Road. Since then, studies of the effect of the project on the nearby ski runs have refined the City's understanding of the impact and of available and required mitigation means. As anticipated in 2013, Ski club infrastructure requires relocation at three locations, all of which can be undertaken within the 2013 delineated Project Area. However, at the third location, near the intersection of Connors Road and Cloverdale Hill Road, relocation of the T-bar return terminal bullwheel has implications for the associated downslope run. According to a specialist's report prepared for the City (BHA 2014), to maintain the minimum recommended unloading distance of 25 m between the relocated return terminal bullwheel and the last T-Bar tower there is a need to move the T-Bar tower slightly downslope and re-grade a small area to create a new suitable landing area. The existing operator shack shown in Plate 2.6 will also have to move further downslope, to be near the relocated return terminal bullwheel. Re-grading falls slightly outside the 2013 boundary and thus requires a small extension of the Project Area (Figure 2.1d) adding approximately 362 m^2 of additional land (Plate 2.6).



Plate 2.6. Edmonton Ski Club return terminal bullwheel (foreground), operator shack (middle ground) and last tower (background) for the T-bar run, looking northwest (Jan. 2015)

For this scenario, a new tower may also have to be added to maintain passenger ropeway standards (BHA 2014). This will be finalized at a later date.

Any infrastructure removal/relocation and installation will be the responsibility of the Edmonton Ski Club, as funded and facilitated by LRT D and C. Project Co is responsible only for re-grading and the final condition of the affected lands. Project Co activities in this extended parcel will be restricted to site fencing and re-grading for ski club purposes. The re-grading between the return terminal bullwheel and last tower is mandated to occur between April 15 and August 20. This timing requirement now forms part of the contract, providing the ski club time to reinstall the equipment prior to the start of the following ski season.

2.1.6 Muttart Access Road Partial Removal - Project Co Component

As part of Valley Line LRT construction, the Muttart Access Road, connecting Connors Road northbound and 98 Avenue, and providing access to the conservatory grounds, will be permanently realigned to accommodate the LRT trackway and Muttart Stop. The need for realignment was covered in the 2013 EISA. As part of that realignment, closure/demolition of a 200 m long, one-way road connecting northbound Connors Road to the Muttart Access Road will be required (Figure 2.4). That connector road will be permanently removed as part of the Valley Line project. As 2013 EISA stated that the existing connector from Connors Road north would remain intact, this minor, permanent change in the road network was not fully assessed. The road removal involves approximately 2,070 m² of land. This project component differs from the others assessed in this update in that it requires no adjustments to the Project Area *and* most of the activities associated with removal would be the same as which these undertaken as part of the realignment of the access road, was covered in the 2013 EISA.

2.1.7 *Muttart Storage Building Replacement- City Component*

The 2013 EISA identified Project Co as responsible for constructing the replacement Muttart Conservatory Storage Building (MSCB) and ancillary facilities, required as a result of the location of the LRT trackway and Muttart Stop. The delineated Project Area included lands to accommodate the new facility, showed a conceptual building location and assumed that Project Co would construct the building at the time it staged construction of other facilities in that area. Subsequent planning has since refined that location, considering details such as how best to accommodate a like-for-like storage building, associated parking and delivery truck access requirements in a manner that also responds to the delivery needs of the Muttart greenhouses (Figure 2.5a - 2.5c). The final building location then shifted another 5.8 m to the southwest so as not to foreclose on the potential for a future park access road and future SUP running between the greenhouses and the storage building. Specifically, the new facility location was affected by the need to ensure effective delivery service to both the working greenhouses and the storage building. The new location had to account for efficient delivery service to both these areas and *between* the storage building and working greenhouses for items such as soil storage. The changed location led to the decision to reassign this component from the larger project to early works by the City.



Figure 2.4 Muttart Access Road Partial Removal

The new building is close to identical in size, shape and function as the building it is to replace. The replacement project includes re-establishment of essential ancillary facilities (a small number of parking stalls and delivery truck turn around). Much of the disturbance footprint shown in Figure 2.1d is temporary, required to accommodate the necessary re-grading (Plate 2.7) and will be returned to parkland following construction. The total project component footprint is 8,795 m², of which approximately 5,966m² (68%) will be restored to turf and possibly other small landscaping features near the facility (i.e. planted beds). Lands to be disturbed consist entirely of manicured lawn and one SUP, situated along the existing west margin of the Muttart working greenhouses. Minor realignment of that SUP will be required. Construction activities associated with this project component will be undertaken by the City in summer and autumn of 2015, prior to commencement of general construction activities associated with the Valley Line.

Since construction of the proposed replacement building will be undertaken by the City of Edmonton, the footprint for the replacement structure has been removed from the Project Co lands, as shown in Figure 2.1d, and distinguished from those lands as a City component (shown in blue). Demolition of the existing storage building for the Muttart Conservatory will be undertaken by Project Co as was described in the 2013 EISA and the existing building remains within the original Project Area. In the event that construction is not complete by spring of 2016, when Project Co is anticipated to begin work in the river valley, arrangements will be made to ensure no conflicts arise with other contractors that may be working in the area.

This assessment assumes that certain construction protection measures will be built into the MCSB replacement construction contract, with the chief one being the need to remain compliant with City of Edmonton's ENVISO program. Thus, new facility construction is assumed to be governed by a project-specific, Environmental Construction Operations (ECO) Plan, prepared by the contractor in compliance with the City's Environmental Construction Operations (ECO) Plan Framework. This plan will include a comprehensive Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (TESCP) that meets or exceeds the standards of the City of Edmonton's *Erosion and Sedimentation Control Guidelines* (2005). Further, the contract will specify the need to address utilities, as required. Finally, it is expected that all appropriate fuel handling procedures and occupational health and safety requirements will be followed and all construction practices will be in compliance with all City environmental bylaws.





PROJECT CONTEXT - EXISTING SCALE 1:2000 $\bigcirc \frac{\mathsf{PROJECT CONTEXT - FUTURE}}{\mathsf{SCALE 1:2000}}$



MUTTART CONSERVATORY STORAGE BUILDING

Figure 2.5a

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Build a new storage building to replace the existing (\bf{A}) as a result of the new LRT line expansion (\bf{B}).

The new building (**C**) will house 3 main functions; Soil and Material Storage, Prop Storage, and a workshop for Kinsmen Fitness Centre.

REPLACEMENT STRUCTURE CONSIDERATIONS:

- Incorporate efficiencies into the building and surrounding area (**C2**) along with managing the visual impact of the structure given its new location.
- It is expected that the TPSS building (E) and the Muttart Conservatory Storage Building will be complimentary relative to architectural expression.
- The design of the new building is to be respective of its surroundings in Edmonton's North Saskatchewan River Valley and the Muttart Conservatory grounds. (D2)

DRAWING LEGEND:

- **B** Anticipated Valley-Line LRT alignment.
- B2 Valley Line LRT Stop.
- C New Storage Building, re-located next to existing greenhouses (D).
- **E** Traction power substation (T.P.S.S.) site, part of LRT.
- E2 Potential storm water management pond, part of LRT.



Shared-Use-Path (SUP)

Potential Future Shared-Use-Path

Buildings

Hard Surface (asphalt / pavement) Gravel Surface

- Topography (0.25m intervals)
- L.R.T. TRACK ALIGNMENT (at grade)

A001 Prepared for Client review - Site Shift to SW 2014-09-22



SCALE 1:1000

DIALOG[®]

MUTTART CONSERVATORY STORAGE BUILDING

SCALE 1:1000

Figure 2.5b

SITE CONTEXT DRAWING LEGEND:

- A Existing Storage Building.
- **B1** Anticipated Valley-Line LRT alignment.
- B2 Valley Line LRT Stop.
- New Storage Building.
 = 502.8 m² or 5412.6 s.f.
- C1 Outline of Project Scope. (from RFP Figure 9.0) = 2288 m² or 0.57 acres
- C2 Outline of Project Scope (including road work) = 3043 m² or 0.75 acres
- **D** Existing greenhouses.
- **D2** Potential future vestibule entrance into Greenhouses.
- **E** Traction power substation (T.P.S.S.) site, part of LRT.
- E2 Potential storm water management pond, part of LRT.
- G Off-ramp from James MacDonald Bridge (98 AVE) Eastbound, one-way.
- **H1** Existing Service Road, currently shared with Shared-Use-Path (SUP).
- H2 New road alignments.
- J1 Existing Shared-Use-Path.
- J2 Possible new alignment for 3.0 m Shared-Use-Path. Not part of this project scope.
- 9.0 m offset for Potential Future Access to Ski Club site.

Shared-Use-Path (SUP)

Potential Future Shared-Use-Path Buildings

Hard Surface (asphalt / pavement) Gravel Surface

- Topography (0.25m intervals)
- L.R.T. TRACK ALIGNMENT (at grade)

A002 Prepared for Client review - Site Shift to SW 2014-09-22



Figure 2.5c

SITE PLAN DRAWING LEGEND:

New Storage Building . = 502.8 m^2 or 5412.6 s.f.

- A2 Prop Storage Bay
- A3 Soils Material & Storage Bay
- **A4** Fitness Repair Workshop
- A5 Outdoor yard
- A6 Snow Storage Area
- **A7** Possible retaining wall
- B Outline of Project Scope (including road work)
 = 3043 m² or 0.75 acres
- C 3:1 grading (slope towards building) from Figure 9.0 of RFP.
- **D** Existing Service Road, to remain.
- E Possible new alignment for 3.0 m Shared-Use-Path. Out of scope.
- 9.0 m offset for Potential Future Access to Ski Club site.



Shared-Use-Path (SUP)

Potential Future Shared-Use-Path Buildings

Hard Surface (asphalt / pavement) Gravel Surface

Topography (0.25m intervals)

L.R.T. TRACK ALIGNMENT (at grade)



SITE PLAN SCALE 1:500





Plate 2.7. Manicured lawn of proposed MCSB replacement and parking area (hill to be re-graded in foreground, existing building will be situated mid-ground), view to northeast (Sept. 2014)

2.1.8 LMRP Temporary Trail Connector - City Component

Prior to commencement of Valley Line construction, to reduce the impact of the up to five years of construction on LMRP trails and facility use, the City will construct a short, temporary connector trail just west of the Project Area in the Chinese Gardens (Figure 2.1b; Plate 2.8). The temporary trail will connect the western portion of the primary north-south SUP to an established trail in the Chinese Garden, allowing pedestrians and cyclists to circulate through the broader network of park trails situated west of the main LRT project corridor and avoiding trail dead ends. The proposed temporary gravel trail will be approximately 1.5 m wide and 15 m in length. Design details and location are shown on Figure 2.6.

Construction drawings indicate that the trail will be sub-excavated to 150 mm depth, filled with compact clay and topped with gravel. Trail construction in this sloped area will involve grade changes. The grade adjacent to the existing trail will be raised using clay fill and will taper down to the existing grade with a maximum slope of 1:3 (Figure 2.6). Fill will be stabilized using 300-600 mm boulders installed at the bottom of the new embankment with one third of the boulders buried into the subgrade/topsoil. Topsoil and sod will be placed on disturbed soil adjacent to the new trail and positive drainage will be provided. The width of disturbed area will be approximately 2-3 m; total area of disturbance will be approximately 65 m².



NEW CONSTRUCTION

	7.	Build up the grade adjacent to existing trail to accommodate new trail construction. Construct 25.0 cubic m . base with clay fill adjacent to trail tapering down to existing grade. Maximum slope is 1:3. Refer to custom detail on this drawing.
te. ·	8.	Construct 30 sq. m. of 50mm depth granular paving c/w 150mm granular base on compacted clay sub-grade. Trail is 1.5m wide. Refer to detail LA302 on this drawing. Contact Community Project to arrange for compaction testing.
	9.	Install 10 sq. m. 100mm depth mulch adjacent to new trail through existing shrub bed. Ensure positive drainage
le;	10.	Install 20 sq. m. 150mm depth topsoil and sod adjacent to new trail. Ensure positive drainage.
and Irub r		
ul	1 7 7 7 7 7	NOTE: THIS SITE SURVEY IS OUT OF DATE AND MAY NOT BE ACCURATE. LAYOUT AND GRADING OF EXISTING SITE IS APPROXIMATE. NEW TRAIL IS TO BE LAID OUT INTHE FIELD. QUANTITIES MAY NEED TO BE ADJUSTED ACCORDINGLY.
) 8) 10		RELIMINARY Draft for CONSTRUCTION
		Revisions ASSET MANAGEMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS PARKS BRANCH
		RIVER VALLEY (9529 Grierson Hill Road) LOUISE MCKINNEY PARK
¢°		CHINESE GARDENS TEMPORARY TRAIL CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS
		Landscape Arch. GC AUG. 2014
+		Grading Drainage Scale Drawn Director File No. CD-01-14
Q 2	/	CR Date Design File Name loui acd.dgn
	/	Reference File Name(s) Ioui obs.dgn construct.tbl
		Figure 2.6



Plate 2.8. Approximate area of proposed LMRP temporary trail connector, looking south (Jan. 2015).

The trail will cut through an existing planting bed and, thus, will require some site preparation, including relocation of several shrubs from the planting bed; stripping approximately 30 m^2 of shrub bed mulch and topsoil, and, stockpiling for re-use in nearby sites. Post-construction, all disturbed lands will be returned to their predisturbance condition.

The connector trail will be constructed in late summer 2015, under a contract administered by Community Services. The contract will specify the need to prepare an ECO Plan, address utilities as required, and comply with all City bylaws and relevant environmental guidelines.

2.2 Spatial Clarifications

Figure 2.7 shows the location and relative extent of the four project components requiring spatial clarification. All of the activities associated with each of the four project components will be undertaken by Project Co.

2.2.1 LMRP Rose Garden and SUP Tie-in

As documented in the 2013 EISA, Valley Line construction will result in temporary disturbance to a portion of the "World Walk" SUP and associated Rose Garden in LMRP (Plate 2.9). The 2013 EISA noted that a portion of the Rose Garden was expected to be removed in support of construction and that it would either be restored at its current site



Legend

- Refinements to Project Co Project Lands
 - **Project Area**
 - Valley Line LRT Alignment (Reference Design)
 - Bylaw 7188 Boundary
 - City of Edmonton River Valley Natural Areas (2010)

* Indicative Location Only



Figure 2.7 Spatial Clarifications

City of Edmonton LRT Valley Line - Stage 1 EISA Update





Plate 2.9. Existing "World Walk" SUP and Rose Garden (June 2014).

following construction, or relocated to a new, permanent site. The 70% River Valley Landscape Drawings and the Project Agreement now in place for the project require Project Co to install a revisioned Rose Garden within the original Project Area. The drawings also require that the new garden area properly tie-in to the remnant portions of the Rose Garden and SUP situated west of the Project Area (Figure 2.8a). These activities represent a refinement of a mitigation measure committed to in the 2013 EISA; however, the tie-in work requires a slight extension of the Project Area. The project contract documents restrict Project Co activities in this area to landscaping activities only (Figure 2.8b). The total area associated with the tie-in work for the SUP and Rose Garden is approximately 527 m^2 (Figure 2.7).



Figure 2.8a



Figure 2.8b

2.2.2 98A Avenue Trail Tie-in to SUP

The 2013 EISA noted that LRT construction will disrupt portions of trails situated in HMEP in the vicinity of the south end of the new Tawatina Bridge crossing the NSR. It acknowledged the need to redevelop that area of the park and committed to providing a seamless tie-in to adjacent existing trails. During development of the 70% River Valley Landscape Drawings it became evident that the full area required for this work, particularly to appropriately tie-in to the existing east-west SUP at the north end of the HMEP parking lot, near 98A Avenue, was not captured by the 2013 EISA Project Area (Figure 2.9) (Plate 2.10, 2.11). This area has now been captured by both the landscape drawings that guide Project Co and by the revised Project Area boundary (Figure 2.7). The work area for this SUP tie-in will total 108 m² (Figure 2.9). Valley Line contract documents include specifications that limit Project Co work in this area to the work described in the landscape drawings; the added area will not be permitted to function as a general construction area.



Plate 2.10. Site of trail tie-in work required at HMEP and 98A Avenue, behind the no-stopping sign, see in the foreground (Jan. 2015).



Figure 2.9



Plate 2.11. Site of trail tie-in work required at HMEP and 98A Avenue, looking north from within HMEP (April 2013).

2.2.3 Reconfigured Trail, Muttart Stop to 98 Avenue

The 2013 EISA describes LRT work required in the vicinity of the Muttart Conservatory and the Muttart Stop as temporarily and adversely affecting river valley trails in the Muttart grounds. Built-in mitigation measures included installation of a new entrance plaza connecting the Conservatory grounds to the new LRT stop and reconfiguration of trails in that area to provide appropriate access to both north and south bound platforms and the larger local path network, as needed. The trail or pathway connections in this area have now been refined as part of development of the 70% River Valley Landscape Drawings. Those plans show a realigned trail connecting the south (northbound) platform to the Muttart Conservatory grounds and local trail network, and, a new trail connecting the north (southbound) platform to the Muttart grounds and Cloverdale Neighbourhood at 96A Street, thus providing access to the south terminus of the 98 Avenue Pedestrian Bridge. The new trail moves under the bridge to connect with 96A Street. That connection requires a narrow extension of the Project Area in that locality, parallel to 98 Avenue (Figure 2.10; Plate 2.12, 2.13) totaling an additional 227 m². The Valley Line contract includes specifications that limit Project Co work in this area to trail construction only; the area will not be permitted to function as a general construction area.



Plate 2.12: Lands extension for the new tie-in trail south of 98 Avenue, looking east (June 2014).



Plate 2.13: Lands extension for new tie-in trail, south of 98 Avenue, west of 96A Street, looking northwest (June 2014).



Figure 2.10

2.2.4 96A Street Parking Lot

The 2013 EISA described the temporary loss of a small trailhead parking lot situated in HMEP, immediately west of 96A Street (Figure 2.4; Plate 2.14). The parking lot was described as within the Project Area and available to Project Co for general construction purposes. Post-construction re-establishment of the parking lot was included in EISA mitigation commitments. While the 2013 EISA narrative identified this small parking lot as part of the Project Area, the Project Area boundary presented in that report included only the western half of the parking lot. As the full parking lot would necessarily be affected during parking lot re-establishment, the Project Area boundary has now been shifted approximately 10 m to the east to include the entire parking lot, adding 364 m² to the Project Area. As had been intended all along, the full parking lot will be available to Project Co for general construction activities.



Plate 2.14: Trailhead parking lot at HMEP; lands will include up to the far side of the treed median shown mid-photograph.